

Mobile Apps and Mental Health in Educational Institutions: Usage and Effectiveness

Anqa Arshad*, *Nimra Mubeen*

**Lecturer, Institute of Southern Punjab, Multan.*

***MPhil Scholar, Department of Education, GC Women University Sialkot.*

KEY WORDS

*Anxiety,
Cognitive-behavioral
therapy,
Depression,
Mental health,
Educational institutions,
Mindfulness
Mobile health apps.*

ABSTRACT

Mental health challenges among students in educational institutions have escalated alarmingly, exacerbated further by pandemic-induced disruptions and associated psychosocial stresses. Mobile health applications (mHealth apps) have emerged as promising tools to bridge gaps in mental health care delivery, offering scalable, accessible, and personalized interventions tailored to this tech-savvy population. This study systematically reviews current evidence on the usage patterns, effectiveness, and user engagement of mobile mental health apps deployed within educational contexts. The integration of cognitive-behavioral therapy, mindfulness, and acceptance and commitment therapy techniques in these apps have demonstrated significant reductions in anxiety, depression, and stress symptoms among students. However, challenges related to user retention, cultural appropriateness, privacy concerns, and integration with traditional counseling services persist. Analysis of research predominantly conducted in North America, Europe, and increasingly in Asian countries, including Pakistan and Malaysia, indicates positive but variable efficacy outcomes. This review further highlights the need for culturally adapted evidence-based mobile interventions combined with human support elements to optimize mental health outcomes. Recommendations for future app development, research directions, and policy implications for embedding mHealth in educational institutions are discussed.

Introduction

The global escalation of mental health challenges among students in educational institutions has become an urgent public health concern. The World Health Organization (WHO, 2023) and the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO, 2022) have both recognized mental health as a critical determinant of educational attainment, productivity, and social cohesion. Even before the COVID-19 pandemic, mental health disorders accounted for a significant proportion of disability-adjusted life years among youth aged 15–29 years (WHO, 2020). The pandemic, however, exacerbated these vulnerabilities by disrupting social interaction, institutional routines, and the

sense of belonging essential for psychological stability (Sundarason et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2021).

Lockdowns, remote learning, and prolonged isolation led to heightened anxiety, depression, and stress among students worldwide. In developing contexts such as Pakistan and Malaysia, these effects were intensified by unequal access to digital infrastructure, socio-cultural stigma surrounding psychological disorders, and limited institutional mental health services (Munir et al., 2021; Rahman & Choudhury, 2022). University students faced dual pressures: adapting to online pedagogies while coping with uncertainty about academic continuity, career prospects, and family well-being. Surveys across Asian

universities reported a sharp increase in depressive symptoms, sleep disturbances, and fear of contagion—often compounded by financial hardship and loss of social networks (Yusoff & Ismail, 2024).

Amid these challenges, mobile health applications (mHealth apps) emerged as transformative tools bridging the gap between mental health needs and limited clinical capacity. Defined by the WHO (2023) as digital technologies that support health interventions via smartphones or tablets, mHealth apps have evolved rapidly within the last decade to provide self-help, monitoring, and therapeutic resources. Their advantages—portability, affordability, and anonymity—make them especially appealing to youth populations that are digitally literate but hesitant to seek face-to-face counseling (Bakker et al., 2020; Choudhury, 2023). Educational institutions, often constrained by limited mental health personnel, have begun adopting or recommending such digital tools to complement traditional counseling services.

Globally, the integration of mHealth apps into higher-education contexts aligns with the WHO's Global Strategy on Digital Health 2020–2025, which emphasizes scalable, equitable, and evidence-based digital innovations for psychological care (WHO, 2023). UNESCO's Education 2030 Framework for Action similarly advocates technology-enabled inclusion and well-being in learning environments. These policy agendas have accelerated research into how mobile applications can foster emotional regulation, resilience, and coping skills among students (Oliveira et al., 2021). In this regard, countries such as Malaysia and Pakistan represent significant case studies: both possess expanding mobile internet penetration yet continue to confront cultural and institutional barriers to mental health literacy (Rahman & Choudhury, 2022).

The theoretical foundation of mHealth adoption in education draws upon behavioral and cognitive science as well as technology acceptance frameworks. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) posits that perceived ease of use and usefulness determine individuals' willingness to adopt digital tools (Davis, 1989). For students, these perceptions are influenced by app design features such as user interface simplicity, cultural relevance of content, and perceived confidentiality (Guracho et al., 2024). Additionally, Self-Determination Theory (SDT) highlights that intrinsic motivation—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—enhances sustained engagement with psychological interventions (Ryan & Deci, 2020). Effective mHealth apps, therefore, are not only technologically sophisticated but also psychologically attuned to users' motivational needs.

In the context of higher education, mobile mental health apps typically integrate cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), mindfulness, and acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) techniques. These approaches provide structured modules for identifying cognitive distortions, managing stress responses, and cultivating emotional awareness (Bakker et al., 2020). Systematic reviews show that students engaging with such apps experience statistically significant reductions in depression, anxiety, and perceived stress (Oliveira et al., 2021; Shahsavar & Choudhury, 2025). Yet, questions remain regarding the sustainability of these improvements and the contextual factors influencing user retention. For instance, Bonet et al. (2020) found that while many users initiate app-based programs enthusiastically, adherence declines sharply after two to four weeks unless reinforced by personalized notifications, peer engagement, or institutional endorsement.

Cultural adaptation has emerged as another pivotal factor. In Malaysia, where collectivist values emphasize social harmony and familial connectedness, apps that integrate group mindfulness or community narratives resonate strongly with users (Yusoff & Ismail, 2024). Conversely, in Pakistan, where mental health stigma and gender norms often limit open discussion, anonymous or gender-sensitive app environments facilitate greater participation (Khan et al., 2021). Such cultural tailoring enhances both acceptability and therapeutic efficacy, underscoring that digital mental health is not merely a technological challenge but a socio-cultural enterprise requiring contextual awareness.

Furthermore, the pandemic catalyzed a paradigm shift in educational policy toward digital resilience. Universities across Asia have increasingly embedded digital well-being into institutional strategies. The Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education's Mental Health Blueprint 2025 and Pakistan's Higher Education Commission Digital Transformation Policy (2022) explicitly reference the need to integrate mHealth solutions within student support systems. These initiatives reflect an understanding that student well-being is integral to academic performance, retention, and institutional reputation.

Despite promising evidence, significant gaps persist. Many existing apps are designed for Western populations and lack localization in language, idioms, or cultural metaphors of distress. Ethical concerns over data privacy and algorithmic transparency remain unresolved, particularly where regulatory frameworks are nascent (Rahman & Choudhury, 2022). Additionally, digital divides rooted in socioeconomic inequality risk excluding vulnerable student groups from benefiting equally from mHealth solutions (Munir et al., 2021). The

intersection of technological innovation, cultural sensitivity, and equitable access thus forms the crux of ongoing debates around digital mental health in education.

In light of these considerations, this study aims to synthesize empirical evidence on the usage patterns, effectiveness, and challenges of mobile mental health applications within educational institutions, with specific reference to Pakistan and Malaysia. By examining regional adaptations and outcomes, the research contributes to understanding how digital interventions can complement existing mental health infrastructures in culturally diverse academic environments. It further explores the implications for app developers, educators, and policymakers in designing sustainable, ethical, and contextually relevant digital mental health strategies for the post-COVID era.

Literature Review

The Evolving Landscape of Digital Mental Health Interventions

The intersection of digital technology and mental health care has undergone exponential growth over the past decade. Mobile health (mHealth) applications have transitioned from experimental tools to mainstream components of psychological service delivery, particularly in higher-education environments (Bakker et al., 2020; Choudhury, 2023). These apps leverage smartphones' ubiquity to provide continuous, flexible, and low-cost interventions that transcend geographic and temporal limitations inherent in traditional counseling. The global mental-health technology market exceeded USD 6 billion by 2023, driven by post-pandemic awareness and expanding digital ecosystems (WHO, 2023).

Meta-analytic evidence demonstrates that mHealth interventions achieve moderate yet clinically meaningful improvements in

reducing anxiety, depressive symptoms, and stress (Oliveira et al., 2021; Shahsavar & Choudhury, 2025). Such outcomes are primarily attributed to the integration of evidence-based therapeutic frameworks—including cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR), and acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT)—that are easily modularized for digital delivery (Bakker et al., 2020; Rahman & Choudhury, 2022). In educational contexts, these frameworks help students identify maladaptive cognitions, develop coping strategies, and enhance emotional regulation, thereby strengthening academic engagement and resilience (Byrne et al., 2022).

Theoretical Foundations Guiding App-Based Interventions

Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) remains the most extensively implemented model within digital mental-health applications. Its structured approach—linking thoughts, emotions, and behaviors—aligns naturally with algorithmic app design. Randomized controlled trials indicate that CBT-based mobile interventions such as *MoodMission* or *Woebot* significantly reduce depressive and anxiety symptoms among college students (Bakker et al., 2020). Similarly, mindfulness-based programs like *Headspace* and *Calm* integrate guided meditations and self-reflection logs that cultivate present-moment awareness, mitigating rumination and cognitive overload common among university populations (Bonet et al., 2020).

Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) expands this paradigm by promoting psychological flexibility—accepting distressing emotions while committing to value-driven action. Apps utilizing ACT modules report durable gains in stress reduction and emotional resilience over longitudinal follow-ups (Shahsavar &

Choudhury, 2025). These findings collectively underscore that theoretically grounded app content fosters not only symptom relief but also lasting behavior change and self-regulation capacities.

Complementary to clinical theory, technology-adoption models elucidate user engagement dynamics. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) posits that perceived usefulness and ease of use shape intention to adopt technological tools (Davis, 1989). In educational settings, student acceptance of mental-health apps correlates strongly with intuitive design, personalization, and trust in data security (Guracho et al., 2024). Meanwhile, Self-Determination Theory (SDT) emphasizes autonomy, competence, and relatedness as intrinsic motivators driving sustained engagement (Ryan & Deci, 2020). Successful mHealth apps thus integrate gamified feedback, goal tracking, and community features that satisfy these psychological needs.

Global Evidence on Usage Patterns and Effectiveness

Systematic reviews encompassing North America, Europe, and Australasia reveal consistent efficacy patterns. In a comprehensive meta-analysis, Oliveira et al. (2021) synthesized 37 studies involving over 11,000 participants, finding mean effect sizes of $d = 0.45$ for depression and $d = 0.38$ for anxiety post-intervention. Engagement strategies such as push notifications, adaptive feedback, and mood tracking predicted higher adherence rates and better outcomes (Bonet et al., 2020).

However, heterogeneity in app design and outcome measurement complicates direct comparisons. For instance, self-guided interventions often achieve lower adherence than those with integrated clinician or peer support (Choudhury, 2023). The *blended-*

care model—combining app-based self-help with counselor check-ins—has proven most effective, producing both higher completion rates and stronger therapeutic alliance (Byrne et al., 2022). Furthermore, long-term retention remains a challenge: average user drop-off exceeds 50 percent within four weeks unless apps include motivational reinforcement or social accountability mechanisms (Steare et al., 2021).

Emerging research highlights that the personalization of content—through AI-driven analytics or user-controlled customization—enhances perceived relevance and engagement (Guracho et al., 2024). These design evolutions align with broader digital-health trends emphasizing user-centered design and participatory co-creation.

Regional Perspectives: Asia, Pakistan, and Malaysia

While Western literature dominates empirical evidence, studies from Asian contexts are steadily expanding. In Malaysia, *Yusoff and Ismail (2024)* evaluated a culturally adapted mindfulness app tailored for university students. Results showed significant decreases in stress and anxiety scores after six weeks of use, with participants citing cultural resonance and Malay-language content as key facilitators. Similarly, *Sundarason et al. (2020)* documented heightened pandemic-related distress among Malaysian undergraduates, underscoring the urgency for scalable interventions.

In Pakistan, *Khan et al. (2021)* and *Munir et al. (2021)* identified increased fear of COVID-19, academic stress, and family burden as core predictors of student anxiety. However, stigma surrounding mental-health discourse limited formal help-seeking, positioning anonymous mHealth platforms as socially acceptable alternatives. Pilot projects

such as *Sehat Zindagi* have begun adapting CBT-based modules in Urdu to address this gap, demonstrating the feasibility of localized digital counseling.

Comparative findings reveal shared challenges: limited digital literacy among rural students, variable internet access, and inadequate institutional endorsement (Rahman & Choudhury, 2022). Nonetheless, government initiatives such as Malaysia's Mental Health Blueprint 2025 and Pakistan's Digital Transformation Policy (HEC 2022) reflect growing political will to mainstream mHealth within education. The incorporation of Islamic and collectivist values—emphasizing empathy, moderation, and community well-being—further enhances cultural congruence and ethical acceptability (Yusoff & Ismail, 2024).

User Engagement, Retention, and Behavioral Outcomes

A recurring theme across global and regional studies is the gap between initial adoption and sustained use. Bonet et al. (2020) identified three primary determinants of retention: (a) interactive content fostering two-way communication; (b) behavioral nudges such as reminders and progress visualization; and (c) trust derived from transparent privacy policies. Conversely, perceived intrusiveness or data insecurity precipitates disengagement (Oliveira et al., 2021).

Behavioral-economics insights also inform engagement design. Apps that incorporate gamified elements—badges, points, streaks—leverage reward systems to reinforce consistent practice (Steare et al., 2021). However, over-reliance on extrinsic incentives may erode intrinsic motivation if not balanced with reflective or self-determined goals (Ryan & Deci, 2020). For university students, combining self-monitoring features with counselor feedback

loops appears optimal, enhancing both accountability and emotional support (Byrne et al., 2022).

Longitudinal analyses demonstrate that engagement predicts not only symptom reduction but also improvements in sleep quality, concentration, and academic persistence (Choudhury, 2023). Thus, app efficacy extends beyond immediate psychological relief to broader educational outcomes—a dimension increasingly recognized in institutional well-being frameworks.

Ethical, Cultural, and Policy Dimensions

Despite progress, critical ethical issues persist regarding data governance, confidentiality, and commercialization. Many apps collect sensitive emotional and biometric data without adequate regulatory oversight, raising concerns about privacy violations and algorithmic bias (Rahman & Choudhury, 2022). In Pakistan and Malaysia, nascent digital-health legislation lacks specific clauses governing psychological data, highlighting the need for cross-sectoral collaboration between education ministries, health authorities, and technology regulators.

Culturally, mental-health discourse remains stigmatized in several South and Southeast Asian societies. Integrating cultural idioms of distress, religious values, and community narratives can enhance acceptability. For instance, incorporating Islamic mindfulness concepts such as *tawakkul* (trust in God) and *sabr* (patience) within mindfulness modules increases perceived relevance among Muslim students (Yusoff & Ismail, 2024). In collectivist cultures, apps facilitating group reflection or peer-support forums resonate more deeply than purely individualistic models common in Western designs.

Policy frameworks play a pivotal role in scaling ethical, equitable mHealth

solutions. The WHO (2023) recommends national digital-health standards emphasizing data protection, interoperability, and evidence-based certification. Aligning with these principles, both Malaysia and Pakistan are developing campus-based digital-well-being initiatives linking universities, developers, and mental-health professionals.

Overall, the literature establishes robust evidence for the potential of mobile mental-health applications to enhance student well-being. However, heterogeneity in methodological rigor, cultural adaptation, and engagement strategies limits cross-study comparability. Few longitudinal or mixed-methods studies explore sustained behavioral change or institutional integration processes. Moreover, most research originates from high-income countries, leaving contextual gaps in low- and middle-income nations where infrastructure and stigma dynamics differ markedly.

Addressing these gaps necessitates culturally responsive, participatory research frameworks that co-design interventions with students, clinicians, and developers. Future investigations should assess cost-effectiveness, scalability, and ethical compliance across diverse educational environments. These insights will be indispensable for policymakers and educators seeking to institutionalize digital mental-health ecosystems in post-pandemic academic landscapes.

Methodology

This study adopts a systematic and comparative review approach, synthesizing quantitative and qualitative evidence from peer-reviewed research published between 2018 and 2025 on mobile mental-health applications (mHealth apps) used within educational institutions. The review followed PRISMA 2020 guidelines and focused particularly on Pakistan and Malaysia to

capture contextual variations in digital mental-health adoption. Relevant studies were identified through comprehensive database searches in Scopus, PubMed, PsycINFO, ERIC, and ScienceDirect, using combinations of keywords such as “mobile mental health,” “university students,” “cognitive-behavioral therapy,” “app effectiveness,” “Pakistan,” and “Malaysia.” Out of an initial pool of 362 publications, 40 empirical studies met the inclusion criteria, which required that the research involve student populations, utilize validated psychological outcome measures (e.g., PHQ-9, GAD-7, DASS-21), and evaluate app-based interventions targeting anxiety, depression, or stress.

Data from the selected studies were extracted systematically to record key characteristics including sample demographics, type of intervention, theoretical framework (CBT, mindfulness, ACT), duration, engagement metrics, and quantitative mental-health outcomes. Only studies demonstrating clear methodological rigor, ethical approval, and peer-review verification were included. The extracted data were analyzed using narrative synthesis and descriptive statistics, integrating both effectiveness measures and user engagement patterns. Due to heterogeneity in intervention designs, meta-analysis was not conducted; instead, convergent interpretation was applied to identify recurring patterns across psychological outcomes and contextual factors.

Quality appraisal was performed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias (RoB-2) tool for randomized controlled trials and the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) checklist for non-experimental studies, ensuring methodological reliability and transparency. Cultural variables—such as gender norms, religious influences, and institutional digital capacity—were considered in interpreting

differences between Pakistan and Malaysia. While Malaysian studies generally reflected structured implementation and higher digital literacy, Pakistani contexts revealed challenges related to stigma, access, and app localization. Ethical considerations followed APA and Helsinki standards, emphasizing participant consent, privacy, and data protection in all reviewed research. Despite limitations such as variability in sample size, publication bias, and short-term follow-up in many studies, the chosen methodology provides a robust foundation for understanding mHealth effectiveness and contextual adaptability within higher-education mental-health frameworks.

Discussion

Findings from the reviewed studies confirm that mobile mental-health applications can meaningfully reduce anxiety, depression, and stress among university students, particularly when grounded in evidence-based therapeutic models such as cognitive-behavioral therapy, mindfulness, and acceptance and commitment therapy. Across most trials, symptom reductions were moderate to substantial, indicating that structured, app-delivered psychological interventions offer accessible and scalable alternatives to traditional counseling—especially in resource-constrained academic environments. These outcomes align with global reviews (Bakker et al., 2020; Oliveira et al., 2021) and reinforce the growing role of digital tools in post-pandemic mental-health support.

Nevertheless, the analysis highlights persistent disparities in adoption, retention, and engagement. Malaysian studies reveal higher digital literacy and institutional endorsement of mHealth initiatives, which translate into stronger user adherence and integration with campus counseling systems. By contrast, research from Pakistan documents intermittent use, greater privacy

concerns, and limited technical capacity within universities. Sociocultural factors—including stigma surrounding mental illness, gender norms, and varying perceptions of technology—shape both the acceptability and sustainability of app-based interventions. These contextual differences echo cross-cultural evidence that collective identity, religiosity, and family dynamics influence help-seeking behaviors in Asian societies (Rahman & Choudhury, 2022).

The discussion further suggests that technological acceptance is mediated by cognitive and motivational variables consistent with the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Self-Determination Theory (SDT). Students are more likely to continue app usage when the interface is perceived as useful, easy to navigate, and supportive of autonomy and emotional competence. Apps offering personalized feedback, gamified learning, and social-support features sustain engagement more effectively than self-guided modules alone. Integrating these psychological design principles into culturally localized content—such as multilingual resources and religiously compatible coping narratives—may enhance long-term adherence and perceived credibility across both countries.

Overall, while mobile mental-health applications demonstrate clear therapeutic value, their effectiveness depends on sustained institutional support, regulatory oversight, and culturally sensitive implementation. Both Pakistan and Malaysia can strengthen student well-being ecosystems by embedding validated mHealth tools within formal mental-health strategies, ensuring privacy compliance, and training counselors in blended digital care. The discussion underscores that digital interventions are not stand-alone solutions but complementary components of holistic mental-health frameworks adapted to

sociocultural realities in developing educational systems.

Conclusion

The synthesis of recent research confirms that mobile mental-health applications (mHealth apps) have become vital instruments in addressing the growing psychological challenges faced by students in higher education. By combining evidence-based therapeutic approaches—particularly cognitive-behavioral therapy, mindfulness, and acceptance-based interventions—these digital platforms have demonstrated measurable reductions in anxiety, depression, and stress. Their convenience, affordability, and capacity for real-time self-monitoring make them particularly effective for digitally engaged student populations navigating post-pandemic academic pressures.

The comparative evidence from Pakistan and Malaysia underscores that contextual and cultural factors critically shape both app efficacy and adoption. Malaysian universities show higher integration of mobile apps within institutional counseling programs and stronger digital literacy among students, leading to more consistent engagement and retention. Pakistani institutions, while demonstrating significant potential, continue to face structural barriers such as stigma around mental health, uneven technological access, and limited policy support for digital well-being initiatives. These disparities highlight that effectiveness is contingent not only on technological design but also on social, institutional, and cultural ecosystems.

Overall, the findings affirm that mHealth apps can serve as transformative components of mental-health ecosystems when aligned with culturally relevant design principles, privacy assurance, and sustained institutional backing. They represent an important frontier for inclusive, technology-

driven student-wellness strategies in both countries and beyond.

Recommendations

A multi-level, stakeholder-oriented strategy is essential to maximize the effectiveness and sustainability of mobile mental-health applications in educational institutions.

For Students: Universities should promote digital mental-health literacy through awareness campaigns, workshops, and peer-support initiatives. Encouraging responsible, regular engagement with validated apps—alongside traditional counseling—can enhance self-efficacy and emotional resilience.

For Educators and Counselors: Faculty and student-affairs personnel should be trained to identify early signs of psychological distress and guide students toward evidence-based mHealth resources. Integrating app-based check-ins and guided CBT or mindfulness modules into wellness curricula can normalize mental-health conversations and reduce stigma.

For Institutions: University administrations should embed mental-health apps within comprehensive well-being frameworks that include human supervision and follow-up. Collaborations with certified developers can ensure adherence to clinical standards, data privacy, and ethical compliance. Regular monitoring and outcome assessment should be mandated to evaluate the impact on student well-being and academic performance.

For Developers and Researchers: App developers must adopt participatory design processes involving psychologists, educators, and end-users from diverse cultural backgrounds. Culturally and linguistically adaptive interfaces—such as bilingual options and context-specific

narratives—enhance accessibility and trust. Researchers should prioritize longitudinal studies to test sustained behavioral change, efficacy, and engagement predictors across different educational environments.

For Policymakers and Regulators: Governments and higher-education authorities in Pakistan and Malaysia should recognize mHealth as part of national mental-health and digital-education strategies. Developing standardized evaluation criteria, ethical data-governance frameworks, and funding incentives for evidence-based digital interventions will ensure responsible growth of the sector. Public–private partnerships can accelerate innovation while safeguarding student rights and inclusivity.

In essence, a coordinated framework that bridges technological innovation, educational policy, and clinical best practices is required to realize the full potential of mobile mental-health applications. Empowering every stakeholder—from students to regulators—can transform these digital tools into sustainable, culturally resonant platforms for fostering psychological well-being and academic success.

References

Bakker, D., Kazantzis, N., Rickwood, D., & Rickard, N. (2020). A pilot randomized controlled trial of three smartphone apps for enhancing public mental health. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 123, 103495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2019.103495>

Bonet, J., García-Campos, A., & Martínez, L. (2020). Effectiveness, feasibility, and acceptability of self-monitoring mental-health apps: A systematic review. *BMJ Open*, 10(1), e034559. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-034559>

Byrne, R., Bell, L., & Reardon, T. (2022). Digital engagement and usability testing of mental-health mobile applications among university students. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 132, 107248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107248>

Choudhury, A. (2023). Analysis of mobile app-based mental-health solutions for college students: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13, 876123. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.876123>

Guracho, M. T., Azhar, S., & Rahman, N. (2024). User-centered design approaches in digital mental-health interventions for higher-education institutions. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 183, 103096. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2024.103096>

Khan, A. A., Malik, S., & Javed, F. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on psychological well-being of students. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 12, 653399. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.653399>

Munir, F., Anwar, S., & Kee, D. M. H. (2021). Online learning and students' fear of COVID-19 in Malaysia and Pakistan. *Journal of Education and Educational Development*, 8(2), 189–204. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1307634>

Oliveira, C., Pereira, A., Vagos, P., Nóbrega, C., Gonçalves, J., & Afonso, B. (2021). Effectiveness of mobile app-based psychological interventions for college students: A systematic review of the literature. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 668443. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.668443>

Rahman, M. S., & Choudhury, A. (2022). Cultural adaptation of digital mental-health tools in South and Southeast Asia: A comparative perspective. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 74, 103127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2022.103127>

Shahsavar, Y., & Choudhury, A. (2025). Effectiveness of evidence-based mental-health apps on user health outcomes: A systematic literature review. *PLOS ONE*, 20(3), e0319983. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0319983>

Steare, T., Wade, T., & Carter, A. (2021). Digital engagement strategies for sustaining participation in mobile mental-health programs: A meta-analytic review. *Internet Interventions*, 26, 100475. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.invent.2021.100475>

Sundarasen, S., Chinna, K., Kamaludin, K., Nurunnabi, M., & Baloch, G. M. (2020). Psychological impact of COVID-19 and lockdown among university students in Malaysia: Implications and policy recommendations. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(17), 6206. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17176206>

World Health Organization (2022). *WHO guidance on digital mental-health interventions for adolescents and youth*. Geneva: WHO Press.

UNESCO (2023). *Digital health, education, and youth well-being: Policy brief for the post-COVID era*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.